

Place: Raymond High School; Media Center

Call to Order: 7:00 p.m.

Members Present: Bill Cantwell, Chairman; Peter Buckingham, Vice Chairman; Steve Naoum, Secretary; Frank Bourque, Selectmen's Ex-Officio; Jonathan Wood; Gretchen Gott; Carolyn Matthews.

Staff Present: Ernest Creveling, Community Development Director; Sharon Somers, Town Counsel; Robert Price, Planning Technician.

Pledge of Allegiance

Election of Officers

Jonathan Wood requested that all parties that are interested in any position please declare the position they are interested in.

Jonathan Wood stated he is interested in the position of Chair.

Steve Naoum stated he would like to be recommended to the Zoning Board of Adjustment and to the Capital Improvements Plan Committee.

Bill Cantwell stated he would like to serve as Chairman again because he believes he is impartial and he does not serve on any other Boards.

Peter Buckingham state he would also like to serve on the Capital Improvements Plan Committee.

Chairman Election

Gretchen Gott nominated Jonathan Wood to serve as Chairman, adding that she would like to see the Chair position rotated from year to year.

Carolyn Matthews stated she feels Bill Cantwell did a wonderful job the past year as Chairman; however she agrees with Gretchen that the position should rotate.

Peter Buckingham nominated Bill Cantwell to serve as Chairman.

RESULTS – JONATHAN WOOD AS CHAIRMAN

Gretchen Gott – Yes

Carolyn Matthews – Yes

Peter Buckingham – No

Bill Cantwell – No

Jonathan Wood – Yes

Steve Naoum – No

Frank Bourque – No

RESULTS – BILL CANTWELL AS CHAIRMAN

Gretchen Gott – No
Carolyn Matthews – No
Peter Buckingham – Yes
Bill Cantwell – Yes
Jonathan Wood – No
Steve Naoum – Yes
Frank Bourque – Yes

Bill Cantwell is elected as Chairman by a vote of 4-3-0.

Vice Chairman Election

Frank Bourque nominated Peter Buckingham to serve as Vice Chairman.

RESULTS – PETER BUCKINGHAM AS VICE CHAIRMAN

Gretchen Gott – Abstain
Carolyn Matthews – Yes
Peter Buckingham – Abstain
Bill Cantwell – Yes
Jonathan Wood – Yes
Steve Naoum – Yes
Frank Bourque – Yes

Peter Buckingham is elected as Vice Chairman by a vote of 5-0-2, with Gretchen Gott and Peter Buckingham abstaining.

Secretary Election

Frank Bourque nominated Steve Naoum to serve as Secretary.

RESULTS – STEVE NAOUM AS SECRETARY

Gretchen Gott – Yes
Carolyn Matthews – Yes
Peter Buckingham – Yes
Bill Cantwell – Yes
Jonathan Wood – Yes
Steve Naoum – Abstain
Frank Bourque – Yes

Steve Naoum is elected as Secretary by a vote of 6-0-1, with Steve Naoum abstaining.

CIP Committee Election

Jonathan Wood nominated Steve Naoum and Peter Buckingham to serve on the CIP Committee.

RESULTS – STEVE NAOUM & PETER BUCKINGHAM FOR CIP COMMITTEE

Gretchen Gott – Yes
Carolyn Matthews – Yes

Peter Buckingham – Abstain
Bill Cantwell – Yes
Jonathan Wood – Yes
Steve Naoum – Yes
Frank Bourque – Yes

Steve Naoum and Peter Buckingham are elected to serve on the CIP Committee by a vote of 6-0-1, with Peter Buckingham abstaining.

Gretchen Gott noted that she has been elected to serve on the CIP Committee through the School Board.

Frank Bourque noted that he, too, has been elected to serve on the CIP Committee through the Board of Selectmen.

Gretchen Gott stated this could cause a problem because there are four members of the Planning Board talking about issues that could have the potential for Planning. Town Counsel Somers stated everyone is coming to the CIP Committee wearing different hats – Gretchen as School Board, Frank as Selectman, and Peter and Steve as Planning Board. She stated she will research the issue and report back later.

Zoning Board of Adjustment Recommendation

Peter Buckingham nominated Steve Naoum to serve as a Planning Board representative to the Zoning Board of Adjustment. Frank Bourque reminded the Planning Board that the Planning Board does not legally have a representative to the ZBA. He stated that the ZBA appointments are handled by the Board of Selectmen after they receive recommendations from the ZBA as to who should serve. He suggested that Mr. Buckingham revise his nomination to be a recommendation to the ZBA.

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion that the Planning Board send a letter to the Zoning Board of Adjustment requesting that the ZBA consider recommending Steve Naoum to the Board of Selectmen for appointment to the Zoning Board of Adjustment as an Alternate. Peter Buckingham seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 7-0-0.

Carolyn Matthews stated she understands the Planning Board will be discussing the Motion for Rehearing submitted by the abutters to the Thibeault Sand & Gravel case in a few minutes. She stated she is not a direct abutter to any of the property owned by Thibeault Sand & Gravel; however she lives in the Ann Logan Circle area, and has researched for, reported to and advised several of her neighbors that are abutters with regard to quality of life and real estate issues. She added that she has written the Planning Board in opposition to this matter. She stated that she will recuse herself from this discussion.

Carolyn Matthews stepped down from her seat for the ensuing Approval of Minutes and discussion regarding the Motion for Rehearing.

Approval of Minutes

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion to approve the minutes of 02/21/08 as amended. Steve Naoum seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 6-0-0.

MOTION: Peter Buckingham made a motion to approve the minutes of 02/28/08 as drafted. Jonathan Wood seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 6-0-0.

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion to approve the minutes of 03/04/08 as amended. Peter Buckingham seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 6-0-0.

MOTION: Frank Bourque made a motion to approve the minutes of 03/06/08 as drafted. Steve Naoum seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-1, with Gretchen Gott abstaining.

Motion for Rehearing – Thibeault Sand & Gravel Excavation Permit

Motion for Rehearing regarding Thibeault Sand & Gravel Excavation Renewal, Application #2007-011, Raymond Tax Map 32, Lots 43 & 68; Map 38, Lots 12 & 13.

Bill Cantwell informed the public that there will not be a public comment session involved with this discussion. Jonathan Wood added that the Planning Board will be discussing the merits regarding the Motion for Rehearing.

Community Development Director Creveling stated for the record that the applicant just handed him a letter objecting to the Motion for Rehearing. He noted that the Board is not taking any comment, however he just wanted to make it known that the letter was received.

DISCUSSION REGARDING “COMMINGLED EVIDENCE”

Gretchen Gott stated it was for the convenience to the abutters that both the Quarry application and the Renewal application were reviewed together. She stated if anything overlapped, it was because both applications are taking place on the same property, adding that the Board looked very carefully at the individual merits of the Renewal case.

Jonathan Wood stated the Board made a strong distinction as to which application they were hearing testimony for, be it the Quarry application or the Renewal application.

Frank Bourque stated he believes the Planning Board was very gracious in the amount of time they allowed the applicant, as well as the abutters to present their testimony.

Peter Buckingham stated the Planning Board is charged as being a fact-finding body, adding that the Board was advised on a number of occasions to remain in this capacity. He stated that he feels the Board did this.

DISCUSSION REGARDING “LESS STRINGENT STANDARD”

Jonathan Wood explained the Planning Board's past practice with gravel operation renewals, which is as follows:

1. Staff identifies the list of permits that are up for renewal, and this list is provided to the Planning Board.
2. The Planning Board arranges a date and time to conduct a site walk at each location with each site owner.
3. The Planning Board holds a public hearing for each site, noticing the abutters via certified mail and the general public via newspaper and public posting.
4. The Board takes in any public feedback given.
5. The Board discusses any possible conditions of approval.
6. The Board determines whether or not the permit should be renewed, with or without conditions.

Gretchen Gott noted that the Board only reviews the period of time between the previous renewal and present day for each site when considering renewal.

Jonathan Wood requested that the Board be polled to see whether or not they agree with his description of how excavation renewals take place.

POLL RESULTS

Gretchen Gott – Yes

Peter Buckingham – Yes

Jonathan Wood – Yes

Steve Naoum – Yes

Frank Bourque – Yes

Bill Cantwell – Yes

Frank Bourque commented that several violations that were reported on the site were the direct result of inactivity and vandalism. He added when the Board pointed out a problem, (i.e. silt fencing being down, well caps missing) the applicant has resolved the issue.

Jonathan Wood stated in regard to inappropriate materials being located on site, there have been other site owners that have had this same issue, in most cases unbeknownst to the operator of the site. He added that once the material has been identified as being inappropriate, the material has been removed.

Gretchen Gott stated each site is handled on an individual basis, noting that during a previous renewal, a specific condition was placed upon one of the sites. She added each site is reviewed on an individual basis using the same Regulations for each site.

Jonathan Wood stated that the Planning Board's renewal procedure is not a formally documented procedure; however it has been in use for many years, and has been observed.

SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS OF ERROR:

The Board applied an erroneous standard and/or procedure to the application and hearing on Thibeault's permit renewal application.

Gretchen Gott asked Town Counsel Somers if this allegation was within the Board's purview. Ms. Somers replied that this is a legal issue, and does not fall under the Board's purview. She stated the Planning Board is not obligated to respond to this allegation, as it lies outside the Board's charged duties.

The Board failed to give due weight to overwhelming evidence of repeated regulatory noncompliance and failure to self-regulate.

Gretchen Gott stated she voted against the renewal of the permit, and this is the area which she was, and still is, concerned with. She noted that security logs were promised to be given to the Board, and were only given a couple times. She also noted that there appears to be a security problem on the site as vandalism occurred on several occasions.

Jonathan Wood stated he also has a concern with this point, adding that this is the reason he proposed a condition of approval regarding a cease and desist order that the Board voted against adding.

Frank Bourque stated that the permit issued in 2005 has not been willfully violated. He stated that he thinks this allegation refers to willful violations in the past. He stated he thinks the applicant has demonstrated compliance with the Board recently, and he does not think it is fair to take violations that are 20 years old and hold them against the applicant today.

Steve Naoum stated that anything occurring outside of Raymond's borders is out of bounds to Raymond consideration. He stated he feels the Board did not make an error in this regard.

Jonathan Wood clarified that his concerns relate to the amount of bare soil on the site, which could lead to problems with dust. He stated that dust has been a problem in the past two years. Frank Bourque stated he does not believe Thibeault was ever notified that dust was a problem on the site.

The Board's approval was in violation of RSA 155-E:4, VII (requiring all necessary federal and state permits be obtained before application approval).

Community Development Director Creveling stated that a Notice of Intent is not something that the Town has ever looked for because it is just a notification that excavation is intended to take place; it is not a permit.

The Board's approval was in violation of Raymond Excavation Regulation XIV.A.16 (requiring the applicant to provide "information on truck traffic,

designated routes, hours of operation, maximum number of daily trips and weight limits”).

Gretchen Gott stated this item was very clearly represented. She recalls hearing a maximum of 150 round trips, and no more. Frank Bourque stated the existing permit contains that same number.

The Board’s approval was in violation of the public welfare standards of RSA 155-E:4, VII and Raymond Excavation Regulation VII.C.

Gretchen Gott stated she has the same concerns for this as she did with the second allegation of error. She stated she is concerned with compliance and lack of reclamation.

Jonathan Wood stated his concerns are also the same here as with the second allegation of error. He added that his proposal of the cease and desist condition that was voted down by the Board was also directed here. He reiterated his concern with dust leaving the site.

Frank Bourque stated the operation has not operated on the site since the permit was issued. He added there is an item identified from 1999, but the Board is not considering 1999 at this time.

Gretchen Gott stated even when people are driving on the site to perform compliance checks, dust is being stirred up, and there needs to be some form of mitigation for this.

Bill Cantwell stated that dust is one of his main concerns. He recalled that the applicant stated dust control would take place once activities resume. He stated one of the reasons he wanted the application to move forward was to get the applicant back on the site to control dust and get the ground reclaimed.

The Board’s approval was unlawful or reasonable because no dust dispersion study or noise study was undertaken, as urged by interested parties, and no standard of best management practices for dust or noise mitigation was identified or requested by the Board. The Board did not make a statutorily-mandated (RSA 155-E:8) “determination” that the project is not prohibited for public welfare reasons and the Board did not give “particular consideration” to such hazards per (Raymond Excavation Regulations) VII.C.

Frank Bourque stated that the concerns surrounding this point are related to the quarry proposal, not the renewal application.

The Board’s approval violated RSA 155-E:4(a) (IV), RSA 155-E:5, V and (Raymond Excavation Regulations) IX.F and XIV.C.3 because (1) the renewal application would leave free-standing water in ponds, siltation of pond or river surface waters, excavation below 6 feet above the seasonal

high water table and possible degradation of public and private water supplies and because (2) the decision granting the renewal permit does not contain or explain any waiver of these excavation restrictions and reasonable alternatives, as required by RSA 155-E:5-b, RSA 155-E:11, II and (Raymond Excavation Regulations) XIII.

Jonathan Wood stated that, as an individual he is not in agreement with the waivers that were granted many years ago, however there were waivers granted to allow excavation below six feet above the seasonal high water table. He stated these waivers are not subject to negotiation in these renewal proceedings. He added that he has some concerns with these waivers because the interest from the Town has grown for this site to serve as a future water supply location. He stated he wants to ensure that the ponds, once completed, are strictly protected.

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion to grant the rehearing request submitted by Clearwater Estates Condominium Association and other persons identified on the attached statements of joinder, to rehear the case regarding the renewal of an excavation permit for Thibeault Sand & Gravel, LLC for property shown on Raymond Tax Map 32, Lots 43 & 68, and Map 38, Lots 12 & 13. Gretchen Gott seconded. The motion failed with a vote of 2-4-0, with Frank Bourque, Steve Naoum, Peter Buckingham and Bill Cantwell voting against the motion.

MOTION: Peter Buckingham made a motion to deny the rehearing request submitted by Clearwater Estates Condominium Association and other persons identified on the attached statements of joinder, to rehear the case regarding the renewal of an excavation permit for Thibeault Sand & Gravel, LLC for property shown on Raymond Tax Map 32, Lots 43 & 68, and Map 38, Lots 12 & 13. Steve Naoum seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 4-2-0, with Jonathan Wood opposed because he wants to give the abutter and the applicant the ability to speak to the issues he believe are remaining, and Gretchen Gott opposed because she still believes there are significant issues that remain as were pointed out in this process.

Carolyn Matthews re-assumed her seat for the remainder of the meeting.

Public Hearing – Amendments to 2002 Master Plan & 2003 Open Space Plan

The Raymond Planning Board will hold a Public Hearing beginning at 7:00 p.m. on Thursday March 20, 2008 in the Media Center at Raymond High School, 45 Harriman Hill Road. The purpose of this Public Hearing is to amend the 2002 Master Plan and the 2003 Open Space Plan in the following ways:

- *Open Space Plan – Pg. 34 – Remove mention of Norris Farms/Flint Hill under “Priority Open Space Areas” section.*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 31, item #1, bullet 2 – Remove entire second bullet language that reads “identifying any tax delinquent parcels and existing town-owned land.”*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 32 – Remove item #5, regarding acquisition of tax delinquent property, in its entirety.*

- *Master Plan – Pg. 90, Table 34 – Replace all old tax map and lot numbers to the current tax map and lot numbers.*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 100 – Remove first paragraph under “Raymond Conservation Fund,” which discusses uses of current use penalty money and gifts and donations.*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 102 – Remove entire section entitled “Bear-Paw Regional Greenways.”*
- *Master Plan – Pgs. 100-103 – Remove the entire section entitled “Funding Sources” which starts on page 100 through the end of page 103, from the main body of the document, and place it in the appendix as Appendix B.*

The Planning Board decided to handle each of these amendments one-at-a-time in an effort to avoid confusion.

Open Space Plan – Pg. 34 – Remove mention of Norris Farms/Flint Hill under “Priority Open Space Areas” section.

Gretchen Gott stated she does not feel that this discussion should even be taking place. She stated she thinks the current Plans are fine how they are, adding that the new Master Plan is well underway. She added that with the results of the Town Meeting last week, she feels no changes with how the Flint Hill property is addressed should be made.

Jonathan Wood read the language of Article 22 from the 2008 Ballot, regarding the placement of a 1 year conservation easement on Flint Hill. He noted that the article passed with a vote of 691 to 612. He added that modifying the 2003 Open Space Plan in this way serves no purpose.

Peter Buckingham noted that Article 21 on the 2008 Ballot also passed, with 58% of the vote, which was in regards to pursuing development options for Flint Hill.

Carolyn Matthews asked if any past precedent has been set in regards to amending Plans, and she asked what the criteria are for when to amend Plans. Frank Bourque replied that it is the duty of the Planning Board to review and amend Plans as needed. Gretchen Gott stated there have not been criteria set forth on when to amend plans, noting that because there are no criteria, then nothing prohibits this discussion from taking place.

Steve Naoum stated he feels the Master Plan is a living document, which is subject to change to reflect the voice of the people.

Bill Cantwell stated he is in hopes that the Town can come up with some compromise that will please both parties, as it is clear that the Town is divided on the Flint Hill issue.

Gretchen Gott stated she feels time would be better spent focusing on making the new Master Plan adequately address both groups.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Gloria Carney stated in the past, Frank Bourque has stated this is the only parcel of land that the Town owns which could be developed. She stated that the Town currently owns 120 parcels of land suitable for development. She stated this is not the appropriate time to take up amendments too the Open Space Plan and Master Plan. She stated part of Flint Hill could be turned into a Town Forest, and be selectively cut. She added that the property was underwater during the 2006 and 2007 flood events.

Don (last name inaudible) stated he lives on Harriman Hill Road and has been there for the last 20 years. He stated the Flint Hill property is hills, holes and wetlands. He noted the property is very important for wildlife, adding that there are a number of vernal pools. He stated he believes the property should be a Town forest, or a park. He added that developing the property is not practical.

Bob Gove stated he has lived in Raymond for 34/35 years. He stated there is a stream that flows from Flint Hill to his property. He stated the development of this property will increase the runoff from that stream onto his property.

Tim Louis, Chairman of the Raymond Business and Economic Development Council stated he is speaking as both a citizen and a member of the RBEDC. He stated he would like to ensure everyone that what is being considered for development is a small portion of the entire property. He stated that he thinks a concrete proposal to determine the feasibility of developing the property would go a long way in helping everyone involved.

Jan Kent, a member of the Raymond Conservation Commission, stated the 2006 Wildlife Action Plan, put together by NH Fish and Game, contains a map which shows the Flint Hill property as the highest-ranked wildlife habitat in the ecological region. She stated that she would hope the Town recognizes the fact that a State Plan recognized the importance of conserving the Flint Hill area.

Erick Mischke stated he abuts the Flint Hill property. He stated he wanted assurance that in 10 to 15 years the Planning Board will not be discussing dust mitigation, sewerage problems, and runoff control when development comes into this area.

Kathy Hoelzel, a member of the Raymond Business and Economic Development Council, stated the taxpayers are paying approximately \$80,000 to update the Master Plan. She stated she does not understand how the Flint Hill property got into the current Master Plan. She stated she, as a taxpayer, does not want to spend more money in a few years to go over this same issue all over again.

David D'entremont stated he lives on Norris Farm Road, and is attending to support conservation efforts of the Flint Hill property. He stated the primary reason he chose to live where he lives now is because his goal is to teach his children about conservation and nature through the use of the Flint Hill property. He added that he is open-minded and he is willing to listen to investigation of development options, however the majority of his support goes to completely conserving the property.

Heather (Berraro?) stated she has lived here for about 6 years. She stated her reason for moving here was to get away from the city and to be able to look out her windows at nature. She stated she doesn't want to have to try and explain to her young daughter why the wildlife is not longer visible around their home.

Gloria Carney reiterated her concern that Flint Hill is being singled out as an area for development, asking why none of the other Town-owned property is being considered.

Diane Janusz stated she does not want to see any future development opportunities hampered because there is wording in the Open Space Plan that says Flint Hill should be conserved.

Jerry (Tovo?) stated he lives next to the Flint Hill property. He stated the main reason he moved to Raymond five years ago was because the property is in conservation, and he does not want to see it developed.

Leslie O'Donnell stated she took the Master Plan survey that was sent out as a part of the Master Plan update process. She stated when you look at the results for the preserving open space question, and add together the totals for those who rated that as a high or very high priority, the total is 69%. She stated to her, it seems like the will of the people is to conserve the land.

Jim Kent asked where the specific notion to revise the 2002 Master Plan and 2003 Open Space Plan came from. He stated there is no language anywhere that suggests that this needs to occur. Peter Buckingham replied that there are a number of areas that are being revised, not just the one being discussed currently. He stated a motion was made a few weeks ago prompting this public hearing. Mr. Kent asked why specifically the focus on Flint Hill as opposed to the entire section within the document. Gretchen Gott replied that all the items the Planning Board is considering in this process, except for one, revolve around conservation interests.

Kathy Lee stated she is sick of the constant bashing of conservation in Raymond. She stated if economic development is feasible to occur on Flint Hill, then she will support it. However, until this study comes back with those results, then everything should be left alone.

Cheryl Killam, a member of the Board of Selectmen, but speaking as a citizen, stated she suggests everything should be put on hold. She stated the Master Plan has been in place since 2002, and the new Master Plan is well under development. She stated throughout the document there are items that appear that will need to be revised as well if each of the items the Planning Board is considering for change gets changed.

Tammy Cole stated she is an abutter to the Flint Hill property. She stated the property should be left alone for a year, as was decided by the people at the 2008 Town Meeting.

Pam Tourville stated she is concerned with potential for health issues that could be associated with development of the property.

Mike O'Donnell stated he is concerned that the will of the people is being snuck around in technical terms. He stated a consensus can not be established in this way, and people can never come together if this occurs.

Chairman Cantwell closed the public comment portion for this item.

MOTION: Frank Bourque made a motion to remove the mention of Norris Farms/Flint Hill from page 34 of the 2003 Open Space Plan. Peter Buckingham seconded for discussion. A lengthy discussion ensued as to the best avenue to take regarding the language on this page, and how to handle it to keep everyone happy. It was eventually decided that rather than remove the language, per Mr. Bourque's motion, staff should insert the complete text of both Article 21 & Article 22 from the 2008 Town Warrant, and indicate the vote totals for each article. Additionally, staff will insert a note indicating that the Master Plan is currently under review, with an anticipated completion date of December 2008. Frank Bourque withdrew his motion, and Peter Buckingham withdrew his second.

MOTION: Frank Bourque made a motion to insert into the 2003 Open Space Plan, the complete text of both Article 21 & Article 22 from the 2008 Town Warrant, and indicate the vote totals for each article. Additionally, staff will insert a note indicating that the Master Plan is currently under review, with an anticipated completion date of December 2008. Gretchen Gott seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 6-1-0, with Steve Naoum opposed because his preference is to remove all properties shown as priorities for open space protection, and not just Flint Hill.

Master Plan – Pg. 90, Table 34 – Replace all old tax map and lot numbers to the current tax map and lot numbers.

Community Development Director Creveling stated that this item is administrative in nature, and can be handled without the need for a public hearing. He stated that the different map and lot numbers are the result of the maps being changed in 2005.

Frank Bourque stated that a footnote needs to be added to this page to indicate that these map and lot numbers are the current map and lot numbers, and any other reference to map and lot numbers throughout the Master Plan are the previous numbers.

Master Plan – Pg. 100 – Remove first paragraph under “Raymond Conservation Fund,” which discusses uses of current use penalty money and gifts and donations.

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion to leave the first paragraph on Page 100 under “Raymond Conservation Fund” in place rather than remove it, and replace the phrase “one-hundred percent” in the first sentence with the phrase “a portion.” Gretchen Gott seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 7-0-0.

Steve Naoum stated the dollar amount that is in the following paragraph is ever-changing, and should be removed. Gretchen Gott stated she agrees. Community Development Director Creveling stated that this change, while people may agree with it, was not noticed and can not be discussed at this time.

MOTION: Frank Bourque made a motion to continue the public hearing for amendments to the 2002 Master Plan to April 17, 2008 at Raymond High School at 7:00 p.m. Jonathan Wood seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 7-0-0. *For reference, the following items have been continued:*

- *Master Plan – Pg. 31, item #1, bullet 2 – Remove entire second bullet language that reads “identifying any tax delinquent parcels and existing town-owned land.”*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 32 – Remove item #5, regarding acquisition of tax delinquent property, in its entirety.*
- *Master Plan – Pg. 102 – Remove entire section entitled “Bear-Paw Regional Greenways.”*
- *Master Plan – Pgs. 100-103 – Remove the entire section entitled “Funding Sources” which starts on page 100 through the end of page 103, from the main body of the document, and place it in the appendix as Appendix B.*

Other Business

Frank Bourque stated that the Board of Selectmen had their reorganization meeting this week. He stated that he is the ex-officio representative to the Planning Board, and Jack Barnes is the Alternate Representative to the Planning Board.

Jonathan Wood stated the Conservation Commission met last night. He reminded everyone that the Natural Resource Outreach Coalition will be holding a kickoff meeting on April 15 at 6:45 p.m. at Raymond High School. Cheryl Killam clarified that this meeting will focus on steps to create a Natural Resource Inventory, as the Town currently does not have one.

Community Development Director Creveling stated the Director of NH Homeland Security, Chris Pope, and several other individuals from different agencies were in Town today and met with himself, and several other Town Officials. He stated that the meeting was generally an awareness meeting to express concern over the current snow-pack and the potential for flooding.

Peter Buckingham and Tim Louis each stated that the Raymond Business and Economic Development Council is seeking interested parties to fill alternate positions.

Bill Cantwell stated the Planning Board is also looking for alternates, and has received an application from Glenn Caron to be an alternate. Community Development Director Creveling stated that Mr. Caron is aware of the procedure to become an alternate, and will begin that process shortly.

Community Development Director Creveling distributed an anonymous letter that was received by the Community Development Department this afternoon. Gretchen Gott stated she is concerned with the lack of a specific reference as to which project the writer is talking about, and she is also concerned at looking at something anonymously. The Board agreed with her statements, and returned the letter to Mr. Creveling.

Adjournment

MOTION: Jonathan Wood made a motion to adjourn. Steve Naoum seconded. The motion passed with a unanimous vote of 7-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert Price
Planning Technician